arXiv:1612.05745v3 [math.AC] 16 Feb 2017

THE WELL-FOUNDED TOPOLOGY AND ITS RELATION WITH THE PROJECTIVE MODULES

ABOLFAZL TARIZADEH

Abstract. In this article, a new and natural topology over an ordinal number is established. It is called the well-founded topology. Then as an application, it is shown that the rank map of a locally of finite type projective module is continuous w.r.t. the well-founded topology (while it is well-known this map is not necessarily continuous w.r.t. the discrete topology). It is also proved that a f.g. flat module is projective if and only if its rank map is continuous w.r.t. the well-founded topology.

1. Introduction

This paper grew out of an attempt to understand when the rank map of an infinitely generated projective module is continuous. It is well-known that the rank map of a f.g. projective $R-$module is continuous whenever $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is equipped with the Zariski topology and the set of natural numbers with the "discrete" topology. It is worthy to mention that, in Proposition 4.2, this fact is re-proved by a new method. See also [10, Corollary 2.2.2] for another proof of it. Note that the "finitely generated" assumption of module is a crucial point in the continuity of the rank map. If we drop this hypothesis then the rank map is no longer necessarily continuous. Namely, there are locally of finite type projective modules whose rank maps are not necessarily continuous w.r.t. the discrete topology, see e.g. [10, Ex. 2.15]. Therefore it is natural to ask, under which circumstances, then the rank map of a locally of finite type projective module will be continuous. One of the main aims of the present article is to realize this goal. In order to accomplish this we need a suitable topology to replace instead of the "discrete" topology of the natural numbers $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$. Finding such a topology requires to have a familiarity with the structure of the natural numbers. The set ω is an ordinal number. Indeed, in Theorem 5.2, a new and natural topology over any ordinal number is

[0] 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A99, 13C10, 19A13, 03E10.
Key words and phrases: Kaplansky theorem; projective module; well-founded topology; exterior power.

introduced. It is called the well-founded topology. Then, in Theorem 5.7, it is shown that if the "discrete" topology of ω is replaced by the well-founded topology then the rank map remains continuous even if the projective module is infinitely generated. To prove its continuity the main ingredients which are used, in addition to the Kaplansky theorem, are some basic properties of the exterior powers of a module.

In this article, we are also interested to investigate the continuity of the rank map of some certain modules. We should mention that the projectivity of modules has very close connection with the continuity of their rank maps. For instance, a f.g. flat module is projective if and only if its rank map is continuous w.r.t. the discrete topology. An analogue of this result is also proved for the well-founded topology, see Theorem 5.8. This shows that the well-founded topology could be an ideal-theoretic structure. Theorem 4.4 is another interesting result of this article which generalizes some previous results in the literature on the projectivity of f.g. flat modules.

In order to get a better understanding of the well-founded topology having a reasonable knowledge from the theory of ordinal numbers is necessary. We refer the reader to [1, Chap. 7] for a comprehensive discussion of the ordinal numbers.

In this article we also investigate further properties of the well-founded topology. Specially, it is proved that the well-founded topology over an ordinal number is spectral if and only if it is a natural number, see Theorem 5.6.

Throughout the article, all of the rings which are discussed are commutative.

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring with the residue field κ and let M be a f.g. $R-$module. Then a finite subset $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ is a minimal generating set of M if and only if $\{x_i + \mathfrak{m}M : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a $\kappa-$basis of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$.*

Proof. "$\Rightarrow$" Suppose there are elements $a_i \in R$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \in \mathfrak{m}M$. Suppose there is some j such that $a_j \notin \mathfrak{m}$.

Then there is an element $b \in R$ such that $1 = ba_j$. It follows that $x_j \in \sum_{\substack{i=1,\\ i\neq j}}^{n} Rx_i + \mathfrak{m}M$. This means that $M = \sum_{\substack{i=1,\\ i\neq j}}^{n} Rx_i + \mathfrak{m}M$. Thus, by the Nakayama Lemma, $M = \sum_{\substack{i=1,\\ i\neq j}}^{n} Rx_i$. But this is a contradiction. Therefore $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all i. Conversely, if $\{x_i + \mathfrak{m}M : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a $\kappa-$basis of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ then $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Rx_i + \mathfrak{m}M$. Thus, by the NAK, $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Rx_i$. Moreover, by what we have just proved, we conclude that the generating set $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ is minimal. □

The following is an immediate consequence of the above lemma.

Corollary 2.2. *Any two minimal generating sets of a f.g. module over a local ring have the same number of elements.* □

The above Corollary does not hold in general. As a specific example, $\{1\}$ and $\{2, 3\}$ are two minimal generating sets of $\mathbb{Z}$ as module over itself with unequal number of elements.

Remark 2.3. Let M be a module over a ring R such that $M_\mathfrak{p}$ as $R_\mathfrak{p}-$module is f.g. for all primes $\mathfrak{p}$. In this case we say that M is locally of finite type. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of R then we define $\text{rank}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(M_\mathfrak{p})$ as the number of elements of a minimal generating set of the $R_\mathfrak{p}-$module $M_\mathfrak{p}$. By Corollary 2.2, it is well-defined. This leads us to a map from $\text{Spec}(R)$ into the set of natural numbers $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ given by $\mathfrak{p} \rightsquigarrow \text{rank}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(M_\mathfrak{p})$. It is called the rank map of M. Note that $\text{rank}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(M_\mathfrak{p})$, by Lemma 2.1, is equal to the dimension of the $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})-$space $M \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ where $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field of R at $\mathfrak{p}$. The rank map is said to be patch (resp. flat, Zariski) continuous if it is continuous whenever $\text{Spec}(R)$ is equipped with the patch (resp. flat, Zariski) topology and ω with the discrete topology. Finally, we say that the rank map is locally constant if it is patch continuous.

Lemma 2.4. *Let S and T be two multiplicative subsets of R such that $S \subseteq T$. Let U be the image of T under the canonical map $R \to S^{-1}R$. Then the ring $U^{-1}(S^{-1}R)$ is canonically isomorphic to $T^{-1}R$ and for*

each $R-$module M, $U^{-1}(S^{-1}M) \simeq T^{-1}M$.

Proof. It is well-known. □

3. A remark on the Kaplansky theorem

Let M be a locally free $R-$module, i.e., $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $R_{\mathfrak{p}}-$free for all primes $\mathfrak{p}$. We define $\operatorname{rank}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ as the cardinality of a $R_{\mathfrak{p}}-$basis of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It is well-defined since over a commutative ring, any two bases of a free module have the same cardinality. Note that the projective modules and locally of finite type flat modules are typical examples of locally free modules.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a locally free $R-$module and let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ be prime ideals of R. Then* $\operatorname{rank}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \operatorname{rank}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}})$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq (M_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let M be a $R-$module. Then* $\operatorname{Supp} M$ *is stable under the specialization. If moreover M is locally free then* $\operatorname{Supp} M$ *is also stable under the generalization.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ be prime ideals of R. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$ then there is some $x \in M$ such that $\operatorname{Ann}_R(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $x/1$ is a non-zero element of $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Hence $\operatorname{Supp} M$ is stable under the specialization. Now assume that $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Supp} M$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a projective $R-$module and let $\{I_\alpha\}$ be a family of ideals of R. Then* $\bigcap\limits_\alpha (I_\alpha M) = \big(\bigcap\limits_\alpha I_\alpha\big)M$.

Proof. There is a free $R-$module F such that M is a direct summand of it. Thus there exists a $R-$submodule N of F such that $F = M+N$ and $M \cap N = 0$. Let $\{x_i\}$ be a $R-$basis of F. Consider the bijective map $\psi : F \to \bigoplus\limits_i R$ given by $x \rightsquigarrow (r_i)$ where $x = \sum\limits_i r_i x_i$. If I is an ideal of R then $\psi(IF) = \bigoplus\limits_i I$. Moreover $\bigcap\limits_\alpha (\bigoplus\limits_i I_\alpha) = \bigoplus\limits_i (\bigcap\limits_\alpha I_\alpha)$. It follows that $\psi\big((\bigcap\limits_\alpha I_\alpha)F\big) = \psi\big(\bigcap\limits_\alpha (I_\alpha F)\big)$. Thus $(\bigcap\limits_\alpha I_\alpha)F = \bigcap\limits_\alpha (I_\alpha F)$. We

also have $IF = IM + IN$ and $\bigcap_\alpha(I_\alpha M + I_\alpha N) = \bigcap_\alpha(I_\alpha M) + \bigcap_\alpha(I_\alpha N)$. Therefore $(\bigcap_\alpha I_\alpha)M + (\bigcap_\alpha I_\alpha)N = \bigcap_\alpha(I_\alpha M) + \bigcap_\alpha(I_\alpha N)$. It follows that $(\bigcap_\alpha I_\alpha)M = \bigcap_\alpha(I_\alpha M)$. □

Now we prove the first main result of this article:

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be either a projective $R-$module or a locally of finite type flat $R-$module and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of R. Then $M_\mathfrak{p} = 0$ if and only if $M = \mathfrak{p}M$.*

Proof. First assume that $M_\mathfrak{p} = 0$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$$

we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/\mathfrak{p} \otimes_R M \longrightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R M \ .$$

But $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R M \simeq R/\mathfrak{p} \otimes_R M_\mathfrak{p} = 0$. It follows that $M = \mathfrak{p}M$. Conversely, assume that $M = \mathfrak{p}M$. We have $M_\mathfrak{p}$ as $R_\mathfrak{p}-$module is free because apply the Kaplansky theorem [3, Tag 0593] whenever M is projective and apply [3, Tag 00NZ] whenever M is locally of finite type. Moreover $M_\mathfrak{p} \otimes_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \simeq M_\mathfrak{p} \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p} \simeq M/\mathfrak{p}M \otimes_R R_\mathfrak{p} = 0$. It follows that $M_\mathfrak{p} = 0$. □

Corollary 3.5. *The support of a projective module is both Zariski and flat open.*

Proof. Let M be a projective $R-$module, let $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(M)$ and let $I = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}\in X} \mathfrak{p}$. By Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.3, $IM = M$. Clearly $X \subseteq V(I)$. Conversely, assume that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then $M = IM \subseteq \mathfrak{p}M \subseteq M$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}M = M$ and so by Theorem 3.4, $\mathfrak{p} \in X$. Therefore $X = V(I)$. By using Corollary 3.2 and [8, Theorem 3.11], we conclude that X is flat closed. □

4. On the rank map of some certain modules

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a locally free $R-$module. Then for each natural number n,* $\operatorname{Supp}\big(\Lambda^n(M)\big) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : \operatorname{rank}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(M_\mathfrak{p}) \geq n\}$ *where*

$\Lambda^n(M)$ is the n-th exterior power of M.

Proof. By Theorem 6.7, $\Lambda^n(M) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \Lambda^n_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Therefore, by Corollary 6.4, $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp} \Lambda^n(M)$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq n$. □

The following is a well-known result, e.g. see [10, Corollary 2.2.2]. Here we present a new proof for it:

Proposition 4.2. *The rank map of a f.g. projective module is both flat and Zariski continuous.*

Proof. Let M be a f.g. projective module over a ring R. For each natural number n, then by Lemma 4.1, $\psi^{-1}(\{n\}) = \operatorname{Supp} N \cap \big(\operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \operatorname{Supp} N'\big)$ where ψ is the rank map of M, $N = \Lambda^n(M)$ and $N' = \Lambda^{n+1}(M)$. By Lemmata 6.3 and 6.6, N and N' are f.g. projective $R-$modules. Thus, by [9, Lemma 3.1], there are idempotent elements $e, e' \in R$ such that $\operatorname{Ann}_R(N) = Re$ and $\operatorname{Ann}_R(N') = Re'$. It follows that $\psi^{-1}(\{n\}) = V(e) \cap V(1-e') = D\big(e'(1-e)\big)$. Therefore $\psi^{-1}(\{n\})$ is both flat and Zariski open. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let M be a locally free $R-$module which is also locally of finite type. If the rank map of M is patch continuous then it is both flat and Zariski continuous.*

Proof. For each natural number n, by Lemma 3.1, $\psi^{-1}(\{n\})$ is stable under the generalization and specialization where ψ is the rank map of M. Now if ψ is patch continuous then, by [8, Theorem 3.11], it is both flat and Zariski continuous. □

The following is the second main result of this article.

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a ring which has either a finitely many minimal primes or a finitely many maximal ideals. Then the rank map of a locally of finite type flat $R-$module is patch continuous. In particular, every f.g. flat $R-$module is $R-$projective.*

Proof. Let M be a locally of finite type flat $R-$module, let n be a natural number and let $E = \psi^{-1}(\{n\})$ where ψ is the rank map of

M. First assume that $\mathrm{Min}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, ..., \mathfrak{p}_k\}$. There exists some s with $1 \leq s \leq k$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_s, \mathfrak{p}_{s+1}, ..., \mathfrak{p}_k \notin E$ but $\mathfrak{p}_i \in E$ for all $i < s$. By the proof of Lemma 4.3, $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \setminus E = \bigcup_{i=s}^{k} V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$. Thus E is Zariski open in the case of finitely many minimal primes and so it is patch open. Now let $\mathrm{Max}(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, ..., \mathfrak{m}_d\}$. Similarly, there exists some ℓ with $1 \leq \ell \leq d$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_\ell, \mathfrak{m}_{\ell+1}, ..., \mathfrak{m}_d \notin E$ but $\mathfrak{m}_i \in E$ for all $i < \ell$. Again by the proof of Lemma 4.3, $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \setminus E = \bigcup_{i=k}^{d} \Lambda(\mathfrak{m}_i)$ where $\Lambda(\mathfrak{m}_i) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_i\}$. Therefore, by [8, Corollary 3.6], E is a flat open in the case of finitely many maximal ideals and so it is patch open. The latter statement is an immediate consequence of [3, Tags 00NZ, 00NX] and Lemma 4.3. □

Theorem 4.4, in particular, generalizes some previous results in the literature on the projectivity of f.g. flat modules specially including [3, Tag 00NZ], [6, §4E], [4, Corollary 1.5], [7, Fact 7.5].

5. The well-founded topology and its applications

Lemma 5.1. *Let α and β be two ordinal numbers. Then $\beta \in \alpha$ if and only if β is a proper subset of α.*

Proof. "⇒" every ordinal number is a transitive set, see [1, Theorem 7D, (d)]. For the converse, see [1, Theorem 7M, (d)]. □

We say that $\beta < \alpha$ if $\beta \in \alpha$ or equivalently $\beta \subset \alpha$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let α be an ordinal number. Then the collection of all ordinal numbers β with $\beta \subseteq \alpha$, as closed subsets, constitutes a topology over α.*

Proof. Let $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a non-empty family of ordinal numbers. By [1, Theorem 7M, (e)], there exists some $k \in I$ such that $\beta_k \subseteq \beta_i$ for all i. It follows that $\beta_k = \bigcap_{i \in I} \beta_i$. Finally, let $\{\beta_1, ..., \beta_n\}$ be a finite set of ordinal numbers. By [1, Theorem 7M, (d)], there exists some j such that $\beta_i \subseteq \beta_j$ for all i. Therefore $\beta_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i$. □

Definition 5.3. The resulting topology of Theorem 5.2 over an ordinal number α is called the *well-founded* topology of α.

By Lemma 5.1, the first interesting property of the well-founded topology is that the proper closed subsets of an ordinal number α are precisely the points of α.

Theorem 5.4. *Let α be an ordinal number and consider the well-founded topology over it. Then the following conditions hold.*
(i) *The closure of the point $\beta \in \alpha$ is its successor ordinal, i.e., $\overline{\{\beta\}} = \beta^+ = \beta \cup \{\beta\}$.*
(ii) *A closed subset of α has a generic point if and only if it is not a limit ordinal. Moreover, the generic point, if it exists, is unique.*
(iii) *If $\beta \subset \alpha$ is an ordinal number then the well-founded and subspace topologies over β are the same.*
(iv) *If $\alpha \neq 0$ then α is irreducible.*
(v) *The closed subsets of α are stable under the arbitrary unions.*
(vi) *Every open subset of α is quasi-compact.*
(vii) *The well-founded topology is noetherian.*

Recall that an ordinal number is said to be a *limit ordinal* if it is not the successor of an ordinal number.

Proof. **(i)** : By [1, Corollary 7N, (c)], the set $\beta^+ = \beta \cup \{\beta\}$ is an ordinal number. Moreover, by [1, Theorem 7M, (d)], $\beta^+ \leq \alpha$ because if $\alpha \in \beta^+ = \beta \cup \{\beta\}$ then either $\alpha < \beta$ or $\alpha = \beta$, a contradiction. Therefore β^+ is a closed subset of α. It follows that $\overline{\{\beta\}} \subseteq \beta^+$. Conversely, let γ be a closed subset of α such that $\beta \in \gamma$. The set γ is an ordinal number and again by [1, Theorem 7M, (d)], $\beta^+ \leq \gamma$. Therefore the well-founded closure of the point β is equal to β^+.
(ii) : The first assertion is obvious from (i). Suppose $\overline{\{\beta\}} = \overline{\{\gamma\}}$. Then $\beta^+ = \gamma^+$ and so, by [1, Theorem 7M], $\beta = \gamma$.
(iii) and **(iv)** : See [1, Theorem 7M].
(v) : If $\{\beta_i\}$ is a family of ordinal numbers then, by [1, Corollary 7N, (d)], $\bigcup_i \beta_i$ is also an ordinal number.
(vi) : Let U be an open subset of α and let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an open covering of it. We may assume that $I \neq \emptyset$. For each i there exists an ordinal number β_i with $\beta_i \leq \alpha$ such that $U_i^c = \beta_i$. Let β_k be the least element of the set $\{\beta_i : i \in I\}$. It follows that $U = U_k$.

(vii) : It is obvious from (vi). □

Remark 5.5. By [1, Corollary 7N, (b) and (c)], every natural number is an ordinal number where $0 = \emptyset$, $1 = 0^+ = \{0\}$, $2 = 1^+ = \{0, 1\}$ and so on. Moreover, by [1, Corollary 7N, (a)] and [1, Theorem 4G], the set of natural numbers $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is also an ordinal number.

The well-founded topology over an ordinal number α is discrete if and only if either $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$. The well-founded topology over $2 = \{0, 1\}$ is just the Sierpiński topology.

Theorem 5.6. *The well-founded topology over an ordinal number is spectral if and only if it is a natural number.*

Proof. Suppose that the well-founded topology over the ordinal number α is spectral. Let $\alpha \geq \omega$. By Remark 5.5, ω is a closed subset of α and so by Theorem 5.4, it is irreducible. But ω does not have any generic point. Because, suppose there is some $m \in \omega$ such that $\omega = \overline{\{m\}} = m^+$. By [1, Theorem 4I], $m^+ = (m+0)^+ = m+0^+ = m+1$ is a natural number, a contradiction. It follows that $\alpha < \omega$, i.e., α is a natural number. Conversely, let n be a natural number. To prove the assertion, by Theorem 5.4, it suffices to show that every closed and irreducible subset of n has a generic point. We may assume that $n > 0$. If m is a closed and irreducible subset of n then m is a natural number with $0 < m \leq n$. By [1, Theorem 4C], there exists a natural number k such that $m = k^+$. Therefore $m = \overline{\{k\}}$. □

As stated in the Introduction, the rank map of a locally of finite type projective module is not necessarily continuous w.r.t. the discrete topology. But its continuity will be recovered if the discrete topology is replaced by the well-founded topology:

Theorem 5.7. *Let M be a locally of finite type flat $R-$module and consider the well-founded topology on the set of natural numbers. If M is $R-$projective then the rank map of M is both flat and Zariski continuous.*

Proof. Let n be a natural number. By [1, Theorem 4C], n is either the empty set or there exists a natural number m such that $n = m+1$.

Thus either $n = \emptyset$ or $n = \{0, 1, 2, ..., m\}$. By applying this and Lemma 4.1, we obtain that $\psi^{-1}(n) = \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \operatorname{Supp}\big(\Lambda^n(M)\big)$ where ψ is the rank map of M. Therefore, by Lemma 6.6 and Corollary 3.5, the assertion concludes. □

It will be a great surprise to the author if also the converse of Theorem 5.7 holds.

Theorem 5.8. *Let M be a f.g. flat module over a ring R. Then M is $R-$projective if and only if the rank map of M is patch continuous w.r.t. the well-founded topology.*

Proof. The implication "⇒" implies from Theorem 5.7 or Proposition 4.2. Conversely, let n be a natural number. By Lemma 4.1, $\psi^{-1}(\{n\}) = \operatorname{Supp} N \cap \big(\operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \operatorname{Supp} N'\big)$ and $\operatorname{Supp} N = \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \psi^{-1}(n)$ where ψ is the rank map of M, $N = \Lambda^n(M)$ and $N' = \Lambda^{n+1}(M)$. Thus, ψ is patch continuous w.r.t. the discrete topology. Therefore, by [3, Tags 00NZ, 00NX] and Lemma 4.3, M is $R-$projective. □

An $R-$module M is said to be *locally of countable rank* if for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R then $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as $R_{\mathfrak{p}}-$module is countably generated (possibly infinite).

Corollary 5.9. *Let R be a ring such that* $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ *is noetherian w.r.t. the flat topology. Let M be a projective $R-$module which is locally of countable rank. Consider the well-founded topology over ω^+ and the Zariski topology over* $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$*. Then the rank map of M is continuous.*

Proof. We have $\psi^{-1}(\omega) = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} \psi^{-1}(n)$ where ψ is the rank map of M. By the proof of Theorem 5.7, $\psi^{-1}(n) = \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \operatorname{Supp} \Lambda^n(M)$. Thus, by Corollary 3.5, it is Zariski closed. Therefore, by [8, Theorem 4.2], $\psi^{-1}(\omega)$ is also Zariski closed. □

Lemma 5.10. *Let R be a ring such that* $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ *is noetherian w.r.t. the Zariski topology. Then the flat opens of* $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ *are stable under the arbitrary intersections.*

Proof. If I is an ideal of R then there is a finite subset $\{f_1, ..., f_n\}$ of elements of R such that $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \setminus V(I) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D(f_i)$ since every Zariski open subset of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is quasi-compact. It follows that $V(I) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V(f_i)$. This means that $V(I)$ is a flat open. Now let $\{I_\alpha\}$ be a family of ideals of R. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcap_\alpha V(I_\alpha)$ then $V(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \bigcap_\alpha V(I_\alpha)$. Therefore, by [8, Proposition 3.2], the intersection of every family of basis flat opens of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is a flat open. □

As a dual of Corollary 5.9, we have:

Corollary 5.11. *Let R be a ring such that $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is noetherian w.r.t. the Zariski topology. Let M be a projective $R-$module which is locally of countable rank. Consider the well-founded topology over ω^+ and the flat topology over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. Then the rank map of M is continuous.*

Proof. We have $\psi^{-1}(\omega) = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} \psi^{-1}(n)$ where ψ is the rank map of M. By Corollary 3.5, $\psi^{-1}(n) = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \setminus \mathrm{Supp}\, \Lambda^n(M)$ is a flat closed. Then apply Lemma 5.10. □

6. Appendix- exterior powers of a module

The literature on the exterior powers is in a somewhat unsatisfactory state. Hence this section is devoted to this topic up to level which is needed in the article and in the subsequent work [9]. All of the presentation specially the proofs due to the author.

Let M, N be $R-$modules and let $n \geq 2$. A map $f : M^n \to N$ is called *alternative* if f vanishes on each n-tuple with at least two distinct equal coordinates. The map f is called *skew-symmetric* if $f(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(n)}) = (\mathrm{sgn}\,\sigma) f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$.

Lemma 6.1. *Every alternative multi-linear map is skew-symmetric.*

Proof. Let $f : M^n \to N$ be a alternative multi-linear map and let $\sigma \in S_n$ where $n \geq 2$. Each permutation can be written as a product of finitely many transpositions, see [2, Lemma 6.7]. Thus we may write $\sigma = \tau_1 ... \tau_s$ where τ_k is a transposition for all k. To prove the

assertion we use an induction argument on s. If $s = 1$ then $\sigma = (i, j)$ with $i < j$. But $f(x_1, ..., x_i + x_j, ..., x_j + x_i, ..., x_n) = 0$. It follows that $f(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_1, ..., x_j, ..., x_i, ..., x_n) = -f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_j, ..., x_n)$. Let $s > 1$. We may write $\sigma = (i, j)\tau$. Using the induction hypothesis, then $f(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_{\tau(1)}, ..., x_{\tau(j)}, ..., x_{\tau(i)}, ..., x_{\tau(n)}) = (\operatorname{sgn}\tau) f(x_1, ..., x_j, ..., x_i, ..., x_n) = (\operatorname{sgn}\sigma) f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_j, ..., x_n)$. □

Lemma 6.2. *A multi-linear map $f : M^n \to N$ is alternative if and only if the map f vanishes on each n-tuple with a pair of adjacent equal coordinates.*

Proof. Suppose for a given n-tuple $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in M^n$, $x_i = x_j$ with $i < j$. If $j = i + 1$ then there is nothing to prove. Let $j > i + 1$. By the hypothesis, $f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_{j-1} + x_j, x_j + x_{j-1}, ..., x_n) = 0$. By the induction hypothesis, $f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_j, x_{j-1}, ..., x_n) = 0$. It follows that $f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_{j-1}, x_j, ..., x_n) = 0$. □

Let J_n be the $R-$submodule of $M^{\otimes n}$ generated by the collection of pure tensors of the form $x_1 \otimes ... \otimes x_n$ with $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$. The quotient module $\Lambda^n(M) := M^{\otimes n}/J_n$ is called the n-th exterior power of M. Write $\Lambda^0(M) = R$ and $\Lambda^1(M) = M$. The canonical multi-linear map $\eta : M^n \to \Lambda^n(M)$ given by $(x_1, ..., x_n) \rightsquigarrow x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_n := x_1 \otimes ... \otimes x_n + J_n$ is clearly alternative and the module $\Lambda^n(M)$ together with the map η satisfy in the following universal property: for each alternative multi-linear map $\psi : M^n \to N$ then there is a unique $R-$linear map $\varphi : \Lambda^n(M) \to N$ such that $\psi = \varphi \circ \eta$.

Specially, consider the map $M^n \to M^{\otimes n}$ given by $(x_1, ..., x_n) \rightsquigarrow \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (\operatorname{sgn}\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes ... \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}$. This map is multi-linear since multi-linear maps are stable under the finite sums. It is also alternative. Because suppose $x_i = x_{i+1}$ for some i. Let $\sigma \in S_n$. We have $\sigma(j) = i$ and $\sigma(k) = i + 1$ for some j, k. Consider the permutation $\sigma^* = (j, k)\sigma$. Then clearly $\operatorname{sgn}\sigma^* = -\operatorname{sgn}\sigma$, $x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes ... \otimes x_{\sigma(n)} = x_{\sigma^*(1)} \otimes ... \otimes x_{\sigma^*(n)}$ and the assignment $\sigma \rightsquigarrow \sigma^*$ is an injective map from A_n, the set of even permutations of degree n, onto the set of odd permutations of the same degree. It follows that $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (\operatorname{sgn}\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes ... \otimes x_{\sigma(n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in A_n} (x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes ... \otimes x_{\sigma(n)} - x_{\sigma^*(1)} \otimes ... \otimes x_{\sigma^*(n)}) = 0$. Therefore, by the universal property of the exterior powers, there is a unique $R-$linear map $\delta : \Lambda^n(M) \to M^n$ which maps each pure wedge $x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_n$ into

$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}(\operatorname{sgn}\sigma)x_{\sigma(1)}\otimes ...\otimes x_{\sigma(n)}$. The map δ is injective whenever M is a free $R-$module. Because if $\{x_\alpha : \alpha\in I\}$ is a basis of M then the collection of pure tensors $x_{i_1}\otimes ...\otimes x_{i_n}$ with $\{i_1,...,i_n\}\subseteq I$ is a basis of $M^{\otimes n}$. In particular, we have shown that:

Lemma 6.3. *Let M be a (resp. free) $R-$module and let $\{x_\alpha : \alpha\in I\}$ be a generating set (resp. basis) of M. Consider a well-ordering relation $<$ on the index set I. Then the collection of pure wedges of the form $x_{i_1}\wedge ...\wedge x_{i_n}$ where $\{i_1,...,i_n\}\subseteq I$ with $i_1<...<i_n$ is a generating set (resp. basis) of the $R-$module $\Lambda^n(M)$.* □

Corollary 6.4. *If M is a free $R-$module of rank n then $\Lambda^i(M)$ is a free $R-$module of rank $\binom{n}{i}$ for $0\leq i\leq n$ and $\Lambda^i(M)=0$ for all $i>n$.* □

Let $\varphi : M\to N$ be a $R-$linear map. By the universal property of the exterior powers, then there is a unique $R-$linear map $\Lambda^n(\varphi):\Lambda^n(M)\to\Lambda^n(N)$ which maps each pure wedge $x_1\wedge ...\wedge x_n$ into $\varphi(x_1)\wedge ...\wedge\varphi(x_n)$. In fact, $\Lambda^n(-)$ is an additive functor from the category of $R-$modules into itself.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $(M_i,\varphi_{i,j})$ be an inductive (direct) system of $R-$modules and $R-$homomorphisms on the directed set $(I,<)$. Then for each fixed $n\geq 0$, $\big(\Lambda^n(M_i),\Lambda^n(\varphi_{i,j})\big)$ is an inductive system of $R-$modules and $R-$homomorphisms over the same directed set. Moreover $\operatorname{colim}_{i\in I}\Lambda^n(M_i)$ as $R-$module is canonically isomorphic to $\Lambda^n(\operatorname{colim}_{i\in I}M_i)$.*

Proof. Easy. □

Lemma 6.6. *The $R-$module $\Lambda^n(M)$ is projective (resp. flat) whenever M is projective (resp. flat).*

Proof. First assume that M is projective. Consider the exact sequence $0\longrightarrow N\xrightarrow{\varphi}F\xrightarrow{\psi}M\longrightarrow 0$ where F is a free $R-$module. It is split since M is projective. In an abelian category, every exact and split sequence is left exact and split by an additive functor. Therefore

the following sequence is exact and split

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^n(N) \xrightarrow{\Lambda^n(\varphi)} \Lambda^n(F) \xrightarrow{\Lambda^n(\psi)} \Lambda^n(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $\Lambda^n(F) \simeq \Lambda^n(M) \oplus \Lambda^n(N)$. By Lemma 6.3, $\Lambda^n(F)$ is a free $R-$module. Thus $\Lambda^n(M)$ is $R-$projective. Now suppose M is a flat $R-$module. The flatness of $\Lambda^n(M)$ is an immediate consequence of Corollary 6.4, Lemma 6.5 and [3, Tag 058G]. □

Theorem 6.7. *Let $R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism and let M be a $R-$module. Then $\Lambda^n(M) \otimes_R S$ as $S-$module is canonically isomorphic to $\Lambda^n_S(M \otimes_R S)$.*

Proof. Let $N = M \otimes_R S$. Consider the canonical map $M^n \to \Lambda^n_S(N)$ which maps each n-tuple $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ into $(x_1 \otimes 1) \wedge ... \wedge (x_n \otimes 1)$. Clearly it is $R-$multilinear and alternative. Therefore there is a (unique) $R-$linear map $\Lambda^n(M) \to \Lambda^n_S(N)$ which maps each pure wedge $x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_n$ into $(x_1 \otimes 1) \wedge ... \wedge (x_n \otimes 1)$. Then we obtain the $S-$linear map $\varphi : \Lambda^n(M) \otimes_R S \to \Lambda^n_S(N)$ which maps each pure tensor $(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_n) \otimes s$ into $(x_1 \otimes s) \wedge (x_2 \otimes 1) \wedge ... \wedge (x_n \otimes 1)$. We will find the inverse of φ as follows. Consider the canonical map $f : N^n \to \Lambda^n(M) \otimes_R S$ which maps each n-tuple of pure tensors $(x_1 \otimes s_1, ..., x_n \otimes s_n)$ into $(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_n) \otimes s_1 ... s_n$. Clearly it is $S-$multilinear. It is also alternative. Because by Lemma 6.2, it suffices to show that $f(z_1, ..., z_n) = 0$ whenever $z_k = z_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i \otimes s_i$ for some k. But $f(z_1, ..., z_n)$ is a finite sum of elements of the form $\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} (x'_1 \wedge ... \wedge x'_{k-1} \wedge x_i \wedge x_j \wedge x'_{k+2} \wedge ... \wedge x'_n) \otimes s'_1 ... s'_{k-1} s_i s_j s'_{k+2} ... s'_n$. We show that each of them is zero. In fact, it suffices to show that $\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} (x_i \wedge x_j) \otimes s_i s_j = 0$. We act by induction on d. If $d \geq 2$ then we may write $\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} (x_i \wedge x_j) \otimes s_i s_j = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (x_i \wedge x_j) \otimes s_i s_j + (x_d \wedge x_j) \otimes s_d s_j \Big) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i \wedge x_d) \otimes s_i s_d = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} (x_d \wedge x_j) \otimes s_d s_j - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (x_d \wedge x_i) \otimes s_d s_i = 0$. Therefore there is a (unique) $S-$linear map $\psi : \Lambda^n(N) \to \Lambda^n(M) \otimes_R S$ which maps each pure wedge of the form $(x_1 \otimes s_1) \wedge ... \wedge (x_n \otimes s_n)$ into $(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_n) \otimes s_1 ... s_n$. Clearly $\varphi \circ \psi$ and $\psi \circ \varphi$ are the identity maps. □

References

[1] Enderton, H. Elements of set theory, Academic Press, Inc. 1977.
[2] Isaacs, M. Algebra: a graduate course, A.M.S, 1994.
[3] Aise Johan de Jong et al. Stacks Project, see http://stacks.math.columbia.edu.
[4] Jondrup, S. On finitely generated flat modules, Math. Scand. 26 (1972), 233-240.
[5] Kaplansky, I. Projective Modules, Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 68, No. 2 (1958), pp. 372-377.
[6] Lam, T.Y. Lectures on Modules and Rings, Springer 1999, Vol. 189.
[7] Puninski, G. and Rothmaler, P. When every finitely generated flat module is projective, Journal of Algebra 277 (2004), 542-558.
[8] Tarizadeh, A. The flat topology and its duality aspects, submitted, arXiv:1503.04299v9 [math.AC].
[9] Tarizadeh, A. On finite type flat modules, submitted, arXiv:1701.07735v2 [math.AC].
[10] Weibel, C. The K-book: An Introduction to Algebraic K-theory, AMS, 2013.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Maragheh, P. O. Box 55181-83111, Maragheh, Iran.
E-mail address: ebulfez1978@gmail.com